arXiv:0706.3981v1 [math.DS] 27 Jun 2007

ON THE GREENFIELD-WALLACH AND KATOK CONJECTURES IN DIMENSION THREE

GIOVANNI FORNI

1. INTRODUCTION

Many problems in dynamics can be reduced to the study of *cohomological equations* [Kat01], [Kat03]. The simplest and most fundamental example of a cohomological equation for a flow generated by a smooth vector field X on a manifold M is the linear partial differential equation

$$Xu = f \tag{1.1}$$

that is, the problem of finding a function u on M whose derivative along the flow is equal to a given function f on M. Roughly speaking, the C^∞-cohomology of the flow is the space of non-trivial obstructions to the existence of a C^∞ solution u of (1.1) for any given function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. This notion is well-defined if the range of the Lie derivative operator on the space $C^\infty(M)$ is closed. In this case the vector field is called C^∞*-stable*.

In the 80's A.Katok [Hur85], [Kat01], [Kat03] proposed the following conjecture. A vector field X on a closed, connected orientable manifold is called *cohomology free (CF) or rigid* if it is stable and the space of obstructions to the existence of solutions of the cohomological equation (1.1) for smooth data is 1-dimensional. A classical example of (CF) vector field, well-known from KAM theory, is given by constant Diophantine vector fields on tori. Katok conjectured that these are the only examples up to smooth conjugacies.

A related conjecture has been proposed earlier in 1973 by S. J. Greenfield and N. R. Wallach [GW73]. They introduced and studied [GW73], [GW] the notion of a *globally hypoelliptic (GH)* vector field and conjectured that the only such vector fields (up to smooth conjugacies) are constant Diophantine vector fields on tori. A (GH) vector field X is characterized by the property that if XU is smooth for some distribution $U \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ then U is smooth. This notion is modeled on the definition of a hypoelliptic differential operator in the theory of partial differential equations.

Date: February 1, 2008.

Key words and phrases. Globally hypoelliptic, cohomology free vector fields. Greenfield-Wallach and Katok conjectures.

In this paper we review recent progress, mainly due to W. Chen and M. Y. Chi [CC00], F. and J. Rodriguez-Hertz [RHRH06], on the solution of these conjectures and derive a proof of both the conjectures in dimension 3. The argument reduces the (CF) conjecture in the general case to the contact case which can be finished by invoking the proof of the Weinstein conjecture recently announced by C. Taubes [Tau07]. In fact, every (CF) flow is volume preserving and uniquely ergodic, while according to the Weinstein conjecture every contact flow on a closed, orientable 3-manifold has at least one periodic orbit.

In [CC00] the authors propose a proof that every (GH) vector field on a torus is (CF). The argument, which is essentially correct and generalizes word for word to the general case, is presented below in §3 . It follows that the notions of (GH) and (CF) vector fields and the related conjectures are equivalent. Hence the Greenfield-Wallach conjecture in dimension 3 is also proved. We are grateful to F. Rodriguez-Hertz who informed us of the results of [CC00] in a personal communication. In this paper we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a closed, connected, orientable 3-manifold. If there exists a (CF) smooth vector field on M, then M is diffeomorphic to a torus and X is conjugate to a Diophantine vector field or M is a rational homology sphere and X is the Reeb vector field of a smooth contact form. The latter case can be ruled out if the Weinstein conjecture holds.*

The proof is based on the remarkable result of F. and J. Rodriguez-Hertz who proved that if M admits a (CF) vector field than M fibers over a torus of dimension equal to the first Betti number of M [RHRH06]. Our argument consists in ruling out the existence of (CF) vector fields for all manifolds with Betti number strictly less than 3. In case the Betti number is 2 we prove that every (CF) flow on M is homogeneous, which contradicts a theorem of [GW73] which proves the Greenfield-Wallach conjecture in the homogeneous case. In case the Betti number is equal to 1, a standard topological argument proves that any (CF) flow would have periodic orbits, a contradiction. The case of vanishing Betti number is harder. A simple remark shows that any (CF) vector field is tangent to a smooth plane field. In the integrable case, we are able to again prove that the flow is homogeneous. In the non-integrable, contact, case, the Weinstein conjecture immediately implies the Greenfield-Wallach or the Katok conjecture. It would seem that a proof that there is no uniquely ergodic contact flow in dimension 3 should be within reach of softer methods from the theory of dynamical systems but we were so far unable to complete such an argument.

We would like to acknowledge that partial proof of the results of this paper were obtained independently by A. Kocsard in his Ph. D. thesis [Koc07]. In particular Kocsard independently proved the 3-dimensional

Katok conjecture for the cases of non-zero first Betti number. In the case of vanishing Betti number, after we informed him of our results, in particular of the existence of an invariant plane field, he produced an alternative proof in the integrable case. Finally, we would like to thank L. Flaminio for many discussions on the topics treated in the paper.

2. COHOMOLOGY-FREE VECTOR FIELDS

Let M be a closed, connected, orientable smooth manifold.

Definition 2.1. ([Kat01], [Kat03]) A smooth vector field X on M is called C^∞*-stable* if the Lie derivative operator $\mathcal{L}_X : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$ has closed range.

If X is stable, the C^∞-cohomology of X is well-defined and coincides with the space of all X-invariant distributions. Let $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ be the space of distributions on M (in the sense of L. Schwartz), that is, the dual space of the Fréchet space $C^\infty(M)$.

Definition 2.2. A distribution $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ is called X-invariant if $X\mathcal{D} = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. In other terms, the space $\mathcal{I}_X(M)$ of X-invariant distributions is the kernel of the Lie derivative operator $\mathcal{L}_X : \mathcal{D}'(M) \to \mathcal{D}'(M)$.

By definition a distribution is X-invariant if and only if it vanishes on the range of the operator $\mathcal{L}_X : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$. It follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that if X is C^∞-stable, the cohomological equation (1.1) has a solution $u \in C^\infty(M)$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}(f) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{I}_X(M)$.
Similar notions of stability and cohomology of a vector field can be introduced for different regularity classes [Kat01], [Kat03]. For instance, we can say that X is (r, s)-stable if the set $\{Xu \in C^s(M) \,|\, u \in C^r(M)\}$ is closed in $C^s(M)$. The (r, s)-cohomology of X is then the set of obstructions to the existence of a solution $u \in C^r(M)$ for a given $f \in C^s(M)$, that is, the subspace of X-invariant distributions which belong to the dual space $C^s(M)^*$. In this paper we will consider only the case $r = s = \infty$.
It is clear that all Borel probability measures invariant under the flow $\{\phi^X_t\}$ generated by X are X-invariant distributions via integration. By the Krylov-Bogoliubov's theorem if M is compact there exists at least one invariant probability measure for any continuous flow on M. It follows that the range of the operator $\mathcal{L}_X$ on $C^\infty(M)$ has codimension at least 1.

Definition 2.3. ([Kat01], [Kat03]) A smooth vector field X on M is C^∞-*cohomology free (CF)* or C^∞*-rigid*, if for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ there exists a constant $c(f) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$Xu = f - c(f).$$

It is immediate to verify that the properties of stability and rigidity are invariant under C^∞ conjugacies. The fundamental dynamical properties of (CF) vector fields are easily proved.

Proposition 2.4. *[*[Kat01] *p. 21] Let X be a (CF) vector field. Then the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is conservative, that is, there exists a smooth $\{\phi_t^X\}$-invariant volume form ω on M. The space $\mathcal{I}_X(M)$ of X-invariant distributions is one-dimensional and equal to $\mathbb{C}\omega$. In particular the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is uniquely ergodic and minimal (strictly ergodic).*

Proof. It is immediate to prove that $\mathcal{I}_X(M)$ is one-dimensional, hence in particular the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is uniquely ergodic. Let w be any smooth volume form and let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ be the function such that $\mathcal{L}_X w = fw$. Since X is (CF), there exists $c_f \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $Xu = f - c_f$. Let $\omega := e^{-u}w$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega = (f - Xu)\omega = c_f\omega\,.$$

This implies that $c_f = 0$ since

$$c_f \text{ vol}_{\,\omega}(M) = \int_M \mathcal{L}_X\omega = \int_M d(\imath_X\omega) = 0\,.$$

It follows that the volume form ω is $\{\phi_t^X\}$-invariant, hence it coincides, up to normalization, with the unique invariant probability measure. □

If $M = \mathbb{T}^n$ is the n-dimensional torus, it is a simple but fundamental result that all *Diophantine* constant flows are (CF), while all ergodic *Liouvillean* constant flows are not C^∞-stable (see [Kat01] p. 19). We recall that a constant vector field $X = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ is called Diophantine if there exist constants $\gamma > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$|\sum_{i=1}^n k_i\alpha_i| \geq \frac{C}{\|k\|^\gamma} \quad \text{ for all } k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}\,.$$

An ergodic constant vector field on $\mathbb{T}^n$ that is not Diophantine is called *Liouvillean*. The cohomological equation for constant vector fields on $\mathbb{T}^n$ can be analyzed by means of the standard Fourier series expansions. A stronger result which can be derived by adapting to flows methods developed for maps by Luz and dos Santos [LdS98] is that every (CF) vector field on a torus is smoothly conjugate to a constant Diophantine vector field (see [Koc07], §2.2). A more general result which holds for any closed, connected orientable manifold has been proved recently by F. and J. Rodriguez-Hertz [RHRH06]. Their work will be outlined below in §4.

Several examples of C^∞-stable vector fields which are not (CF) are known. Such examples can be hyperbolic (for instance, geodesic flows on compact surfaces of constant negative curvature [dlLMM86]) or parabolic (for instance, horocycles flows on compact surfaces of constant negative curvature [FF03] or nilflows on nilmanifolds other than tori [FF06], [FF07]). However, there are no known examples of (CF) vector fields on manifolds other than tori. A.Katok has proposed the following

Conjecture 2.5. *(*[Hur85], [Kat01], [Kat03]*) If a closed, connected, orientable manifold M admits a (CF) vector field X, then M is diffeomorphic to a torus and X is smoothly conjugate to a Diophantine vector field.*

We will refer to the above conjecture as the *Katok* conjecture.

3. GLOBALLY HYPOELLIPTIC VECTOR FIELDS

The notion of a (CF) vector field and the related Katok conjecture were introduced an studied independently of a closely related notion introduced by Greenfield and Wallach in [GW73].
Let $\mathcal{D}'_n(M)$ denote the space of currents of degree $n = \dim(M)$ (and dimension 0). We remark that currents in $\mathcal{D}'_n(M)$ are by definition continuous linear functionals on the space $C^\infty(M)$ of smooth complex-valued functions, hence the space $\mathcal{D}'_n(M)$ coincides with the space $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ of distributions on M. Any smooth n-form defines by integration a distribution on M, hence the space $\Omega^n(M)$ of smooth n-forms on M can be naturally identified to a subspace of $\mathcal{D}'_n(M)$.

Definition 3.1. [GW73] A smooth vector field X on M is *globally hypoelliptic (GH)* if $\mathcal{L}_X U \in \Omega^n(M)$ implies $U \in \Omega^n(M)$ for any $U \in \mathcal{D}'_n(M)$.

In [GW73] the authors proved several basic results on (GH) vector fields. The fundamental result on the dynamics of (GH) vector fileds is the following non-trivial

Theorem 3.2. *(*[GW73]*, Theorem 1.1) Let X be a (GH) vector field. Then the flow $\{\phi^X_t\}$ is conservative. Let ω denote the $\{\phi^X_t\}$-invariant volume form. The space $\mathcal{I}_X(M)$ of X-invariant distributions is one-dimensional and equal to $\mathbb{C}\omega$. In particular the flow $\{\phi^X_t\}$ is uniquely ergodic and minimal (strictly ergodic).*

The paper then focuses on the following conjecture, proved in a few cases:

Conjecture 3.3. [GW73] *If a closed, connected orientable manifold M admits a (GH) vector field X, then M is diffeomorphic to a torus and X is smoothly conjugate to a constant Diophantine vector field.*

We will refer to the above conjecture as the *Greenfield-Wallach* conjecture. In [GW73] the conjecture is proved in the following cases: if M has dimension $n = 2$; if M is of the form G/H, G a Lie group, H a co-compact closed

subgroup, X is the projection on M of a right-invariant vector field and either G is compact or G is a connected, simply connected 3-dimensional Lie group and $H = \Gamma \subset G$ is a co-compact lattice.
Our proof of the conjecture in dimension 3 is based in part on a reduction to the 3-dimensional homogeneous case:

Theorem 3.4. *(*[GW73], *§2) The Greenfield-Wallach conjecture holds if $M = G/\Gamma$ is a homogeneous space of a 3-dimensional, connected and simply-connected Lie group and the (GH) vector field X on M is the projection of a right invariant vector field on G.*

Integrable function on M are naturally currents of degree 0 (and dimension n), that is, continuous linear funtionals on $\Omega^n(M)$. The space $\mathcal{D}'_0(M)$ of currents of degree 0 (and dimension n) can be identified with the space $\mathcal{D}'_n(M)$ of distributions but the identification depends on the choice of a volume form. Let $I_\omega : \mathcal{D}'_0(M) \to \mathcal{D}'_n(M)$ be the standard isomorphism defined as

$$I_\omega : U \to U \wedge \omega\,, \quad U \in \mathcal{D}'_0(M)\,.$$

If ω is X-invariant, that is, the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0$, the isomorphism I_ω commutes with the operator $\mathcal{L}_X$ on currents, in particular

$$I_\omega(\mathcal{L}_X U) = \mathcal{L}_X I_\omega(U)\,, \quad U \in \mathcal{D}'_0(M)\,.$$

It is an exercise to prove that every (CF) vector field is (GH). The argument is based on the following simple lemma. A smooth vector field X is called *conservative* if there exists a smooth X-invariant volume form on M.

Lemma 3.5. *A smooth conservative vector field X on M is (GH) if and only if $\mathcal{L}_X U \in C^\infty(M)$ implies $U \in C^\infty(M)$ for any current $U \in \mathcal{D}'_0(M)$.*

Proposition 3.6. *Every (CF) vector field is (GH).*

Proof. If X is (CF) than X there exists an X-invariant smooth volume form ω on M (see Prop. 2.4). Let $U \in \mathcal{D}'_0(M)$ be such that $XU = f \in C^\infty(M)$. Since X is (CF), there exist a constant $c_f \in \mathbb{C}$ and a solution $u \in C^\infty(M)$ of the equation $Xu = f - c_f$. It follows that $X(U - u) = c_f$ in $\mathcal{D}'_0(M)$, hence $c_f = 0$. In fact, since $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0$,

$$\mathrm{vol}_\omega(M) c_f = \langle c_f, \omega \rangle = \langle X(U-u), \omega \rangle = \langle U - u, \mathcal{L}_X \omega \rangle = 0\,.$$

However, since X is (CF), the kernel of $\mathcal{L}_X$ on $\mathcal{D}'_0(M)$ is trivial, equal to the subspace of constant functions. It follows that $U - u\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ and $U \in C^\infty(M)$. □

The converse statement is less evident. In terms of the notion of a C^∞-stable vector field (see Definition 2.1), the contribution of Greenfield and Wallach in this direction (see [GW73], Prop. 1.5) can be formulated as follows:

Proposition 3.7. *Every C^∞-stable (GH) vector field is (CF).*

Proof. If X is C^∞-stable, then the cohomological equation $Xu = f$ has a solution $u \in C^\infty(M)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{I}_X(M)^\perp \subset C^\infty(M)$. If X is (GH), the space $\mathcal{I}_X(M)$ is one-dimensional, hence X is (CF). □

On the basis of special cases, it natural to “suspect that the range of a (GH) vector field is always closed” in $\mathcal{D}'_0(M)$ (see the Note at the end of §1 in [GW73]), that is, that every (GH) vector field is C^∞-stable, hence it is (CF). However, this question has remained open until recently. In [GW], Greenfield and Wallach proved a partial converse which can be formulated as follows:

Proposition 3.8. *If X is volume preserving, C^∞-stable and if the space of X-invariant distributions $\mathcal{I}_X(M) \subset \Omega^n(M)$, then X is a (GH) vector field.*

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{D}'_0(M)$ be such that $\mathcal{L}_X U = f \in C^\infty(M)$. Since $\mathcal{I}_X(M) \subset \Omega_n(M)$, it follows that $f \in \mathcal{I}_X(M)^\perp$ and, since X is C^∞-stable, there exists $u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $Xu = f$. Let ω denote the X-invariant volume form. The distribution $(U - u) \wedge \omega \in \mathcal{I}_X(M) \subset \Omega^n(M)$, hence $U \in C^\infty(M)$. Thus X is a (GH) vector field by Lemma 3.5. □

In 2000 Chen and Chi [CC00] have published a paper based on the result that (GH) vector fields on tori are always C^∞-stable. Their argument is essentially correct and generalizes word for word to any compact manifold. We owe this remark to F. Rodriguez-Hertz.

Theorem 3.9. *(after Chen and Chi* [CC00]*) Every (GH) vector field on M is C^∞-stable, hence it is (CF).*

Proof. Let ω be the (normalized) X-invariant volume form and let $L^2(M, \omega)$ be the standard Hilbert space of square-integrable functions with respect to the X-invariant volume. Let $\{H^s(M) | s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the standard family of Sobolev spaces on the compact manifold M. We remark that the space

$$H^\infty(M) = \cap_{s \in \mathbb{N}} H^s(M) = C^\infty(M)\,,$$

endowed with the sequence of Sobolev norms $\{\| \cdot \|_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, is a Fréchet space. Let $L : H^{-1}(M) \to H^\infty(M)$ be the linear densely defined operator defined as follows:

$$L(f) = Xf\,, \quad f \in D(L) = H^\infty(M)\,.$$

Since X is (GH), the operator L on $H^{-1}(M)$ is closed on the (dense) domain $D(L) = H^\infty(M)$, hence its graph $G_L = \{(f, Lf) | f \in D(L)\}$ is a closed subspace of the Fréchet space $H^{-1}(M) \times H^\infty(M)$. The linear operator $\pi : G_L \to L^2(M, \omega)$ defined as

$$\pi(f, Lf) = f\,, \quad f \in D(L) = H^\infty(M)\,.$$

is closed operator on the Fréchet space G_L (a closed subspace of the Fréchet space $H^{-1}(M) \times H^\infty(M)$), hence it is bounded by the closed graph theorem. It follows that there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $f \in H^\infty(M)$,

$$\|f\|_0 \le C \left(\|f\|_{-1} + \|Xf\|_s \right) . \tag{3.1}$$

We claim that there exists $C' > 0$ such that the following estimate holds:

$$\|f\|_0 \le C' \|Xf\|_s \,, \qquad \text{for all } f \in H^\infty(M) \text{ such that } \int_M f\omega = 0 \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Let us assume that the claim does not hold. Then there exists a sequence $\{f_j | j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $H^\infty(M)$ such that $\int_M f_j \omega \equiv 0$ and

$$\|f_j\|_0 \equiv 1 \,, \quad \|Xf_j\|_s \to 0 \,.$$

Since $L^2(M, \omega)$ is a (separable) Hilbert space, there exists a subsequence $\{f_{j_k} | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ weakly convergent to $f \in L^2(M, \omega)$. Since $Xf_j \to 0$ in $H^s(M)$, it follows that $Xf = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'_0(M)$, hence $f \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant function. However, $\int_M f_{j_k} \omega \to \int_M f\omega = 0$, implies that $f = 0$. By Rellich embedding theorem, the embedding $L^2(M, \omega) \to H^{-1}(M, \omega)$ is compact, hence $f_{j_k} \to 0$ strongly in $H^{-1}(M)$. Finally, by estimate (3.1) we have

$$\|f_{j_k}\|_0 \le C \left(\|f_{j_k}\|_{-1} + \|Xf_{j_k}\|_s \right) ,$$

hence $f_{j_k} \to 0$ in $L^2(M, \omega)$ contradicting the assumption that $\|f_j\|_0 = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The claim is therefore proved.

Since $L^2(M, \omega)$ is complete and X is (GH), it follows immediately from the estimate (3.2) that X is C^∞-stable, hence it is (CF) □

The above results can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 3.10. *Let X be a smooth vector field on a closed connected manifold M. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *X is (GH);*
2. *X is (CF);*
3. *X is volume preserving, C^∞-stable and all X-invariant distributions are smooth n-forms.*

We became aware of the the paper [CC00] and of its main result (that (GH) vector fields on tori are smoothly conjugate to Diophantine vector fields) by reading the paper [RHRH06]. However, the question whether every (GH) vector field is C^∞-stable, hence (CF) was still proposed as an open question in the paper [FF07]. Only recently, F. Rodriguez-Hertz has informed us that [CC00] is actually based on a proof (for the toral case) that (GH) vector fields are (CF). The authors were apparently not aware of a paper of Luz and Dos Santos [LdS98] whose methods can be adapted to prove that every

(CF) vector field on a torus is smoothly conjugate to a constant Diophantine vector field (see [Koc07], §2.2) and give a (quite convoluted) independent proof.

4. THE FIRST BETTI NUMBER

In this section we will outline recent progress on the Katok (and Greenfield-Wallach) conjecture due to F. and J. Rodriguez-Hertz [RHRH06]. Their main result can be stated as follows: a (CF) flow on a closed, connected manifold M has a smooth Diophantine factor on a torus of the dimension of the first Betti number of M. In particular, if the first Betti number is equal to the dimension of M, then M is diffeomorphic to a torus and the (CF) vector field is smoothly conjugated to a Diophantine vector field.

Lemma 4.1. *(*[RHRH06]*, Prop. 1.3) Let $p : M \to N$ be a smooth fibration and let Y be a smooth vector fields on N such that $Y = p_*(X)$. If X is (CF), then Y is (CF).*

Proof. Let $f \in C^\infty(N)$ and let $g = f \circ p$. There exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and a function $v \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $Xv = g - c$. For any $y \in N$, the set $M_y = p^{-1}\{y\}$ is a smooth submanifolds of codimension equal to the dimension of N. Let ω be the X-invariant volume form on M and let ω_y be the restriction of ω to M_y. The form ω_y is a volume form on M_y and the function $w : M \to \mathbb{R}$ defined for all $x \in M$ as

$$w(x) := \text{vol}_{\omega_{p(x)}}(M_{p(x)})$$

is an X-invariant smooth function, hence it is constant equal to $w \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $u \in C^\infty(N)$ be defined as

$$u(y) := w^{-1} \int_{M_y} v\omega_y \,, \quad \text{for all } y \in N\,.$$

A computation shows that

$$\mathcal{L}_Y u(y) = w^{-1} \int_{M_y} \mathcal{L}_X v\omega_y = \int_{M_y} (f \circ p - c)\omega_y = f(y) - c\,,$$

hence $u \in C^\infty(N)$ is a solution of the cohomological equation $Yu = f - c$. It follows that Y is (CF). □

The results of [RHRH06] are based on the following simple but crucial idea:

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a (CF) vector field on M. There exists a continuous linear operator $j_X : \Omega^1(M) \to \Omega^1(M)$ on the space of 1-forms with the following properties. Let ω be the normalized X-invariant volume form on M. For every $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$,*

(1) $\imath_X j_X(\eta) \equiv \int_M \imath_X \eta\, \omega$;

(2) *the* 1*-form* $j_X(\eta)-\eta$ *is exact;*
(3) *if* $\imath_X\eta$ *is constant, then* $j_X(\eta)$ *is* X*-invariant.*

In particular, any de Rham cohomology class $c\in H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$ *has an* X*-invariant representative, that is, there exists* $\eta\in\Omega^1(M)$ *such that*

$$c=[\eta]\in H^1(M,\mathbb{R})\quad\text{ and }\quad \mathcal{L}_X\eta=0\,.$$

Proof. Since X is (CF) there exists a linear operator $u_X:\Omega^1(M)\to C^\infty(M)$ such that for every $\eta\in\Omega^1(M)$ the function $u:=u_X(\eta)\in C^\infty(M)$ is the unique zero-average solution of the cohomological equation

$$Xu=\imath_X\eta-\int_M\imath_X\eta\,\omega\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $j_X:\Omega^1(M)\to\Omega^1(M)$ be the operator defined as

$$j_X(\eta):=\eta-du_X(\eta)\,,\quad \text{ for every }\ \eta\in\Omega^1(M)\,.$$

The operator j_X is well-defined, linear and it is continuous since the operator u_X is continous by the open mapping theorem,

Property (1) and (2) hold by definition. If $\imath_X\eta$ is constant,

$$\mathcal{L}_Xj_X(\eta)=\mathcal{L}_X\eta-d\mathcal{L}_Xu_X(\eta)=\imath_Xd\eta+d\imath_X\eta-d\imath_X\eta=0\,,$$

hence $j_X(\eta)$ is X-invariant. Finally, if η is closed, the form $j_X(\eta)$ is closed by (2) and it is X-invariant by (3). Hence every de Rham cohomology class has an X-invariant representative. □

Let $\beta_1(M):=\dim H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$ be the first Betti number of the closed, connected, orientable n-dimensional manifold M.

Theorem 4.3. *Let* X *be a (CF) vector field on* M*. There exist a smooth fibration* $p:M\to\mathbb{T}^{\beta_1(M)}$ *and a Diophantine vector field* Y *on the torus* $\mathbb{T}^{\beta_1(M)}$ *such that* $p_*(X)=Y$*. It follows that* $\beta_1(M)\le n$ *and if equality holds then* M *is diffeomorphic to the torus* $\mathbb{T}^n$ *and* X *is smoothly conjugate to a Diophantine vector field on* $\mathbb{T}^n$*.*

outline. Let $\beta:=\beta_1(M)$ and let $\{c_1,\dots,c_\beta\}\subset H^1(M,\mathbb{Z})$ be an integer basis of the de Rham cohomology. By Lemma 4.2 there exists a system $\{\eta_1,\dots,\eta_\beta\}\subset\Omega^1(M)$ of closed, X-invariant 1- forms such that $c_k=[\eta_k]\in H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$ for all $k\in\{1,\dots,\beta\}$. Let $x_0\in M$ and let $p:M\to\mathbb{T}^\beta$ be the map defined as follows:

$$p(x)=\left(\int_{x_0}^x\eta_1,\dots,\int_{x_0}^x\eta_\beta\right)\in\mathbb{T}^\beta\,,\quad\text{ for all }\ x\in M\,. \tag{4.2}$$

The map p is by definition well-defined and smooth. In fact, each of the integrals in (4.2) are independent modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ on the choice of the path joining the base point x_0 to $x \in M$. Since the forms $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_\beta$ are closed and X-invariant , the vector field $Y := p_*(X)$ is well-defined and constant on $p(M)$, in fact, it is equal to

$$(\imath_X \eta_1, \dots, \imath_X \eta_\beta) \ .$$

Since the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is minimal and $p_*(X)$ is a constant vector field, it is then possible to prove: (a) the range of p is a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{T}^\beta$, hence it is a sub-torus $\mathbb{T}^\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}^\beta$; (b) by Sard's theorem the map $p : M \to \mathbb{T}^\alpha$ has constant maximal rank, hence $p : M \to \mathbb{T}^\alpha$ is a fibration; (c) the map $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \to H^1(p^{-1}(\{t\}), \mathbb{R})$ is trivial for any $t \in \mathbb{T}^\alpha$, hence $\alpha = \beta_1(M)$ and the sub-torus $\mathbb{T}^\alpha = \mathbb{T}^\beta$ (p is surjective) ; (d) by Lemma 4.1, the constant vector field $Y = p_*(X)$ on $\mathbb{T}^\beta$ is (CF), hence Diophantine. $\square$

5. THE CASE OF 3-MANIFOLDS

In this section we prove the Katok conjecture (hence by Theorem 3.10 the Greenfield-Wallach conjecture as well) by the following method. We prove by contradiction that if M is a closed, connected orientable 3-manifolds with first Betti number $\beta_1(M) < 3$, then there is no (CF) vector field on M. The conjecture then follows from Theorem 4.3.

In case $\beta_1(M) \neq 0$, we prove by an elementary argument based on Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 that any (CF) vector field has to be homogeneous. The result of Greenfield-Wallach Theorem 3.4 in the 3-dimensional homogeneous case then implies that M is a 3-dimensional torus, a contradiction. If $\beta_1(M) = 0$, a simple key remark (which works only in dimension 3) proves the following dichotomy: either the flow is tangent to a smooth 2-dimensional foliations or it the Reeb flow for a smooth contact form. In the first case we again prove that the flow is homogeneous. The hard case which is left out at this point is the contact case. We can conclude the proof of the Katok conjecture by invoking the recent proof of the Weinstein conjecture by C. Taubes [Tau07]. In fact, by the Weinstein conjecture every Reeb flow in dimension 3 has at least a periodic orbit, hence cannot be uniquely ergodic, However, it seems important to develop different methods better adapted to our problem, especially in view of generalizations to higher dimensions.

A proof of the above-mentioned results in the case $0 < \beta_1(M) < 3$ has been obtained independently by A. Kocsard (see [Koc07], Chap. 3) with methods similar to those of this paper. Kocsard has also proposed an alternative proof in the case that the flow is tangent to a 2-dimensional foliation (see [Koc07], §4.3). His proof relies on several interesting ideas and results on the tangent dynamics of flows on 3-dimensional manifolds.

5.1. $\beta_1(M) = 2$.

We prove below that M is a homogeneous space and the (CF) flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is a homogeneous flow. It then follows by the Greenfield-Wallach Theorem 3.4 that M is a 3-dimensional torus, which contradicts the hypothesis on the dimension of the homology group.

By Theorem 4.3, there is a fibration $\pi : M \to \mathbb{T}^2$ such that the (CF) vector field X projects onto a constant Diophantine vector field $\pi_*(X)$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$. It follows that there exist two closed smooth 1-forms η_1 and η_2 on M such that the functions $\imath_X \eta_1 = 1$ and $\imath_X \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and the 2- form $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2$ never vanishes on M. We remark that it follows that η_1 and η_2 are invariant under the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$, in fact the Lie derivatives

$$\mathcal{L}_X \eta_i = d\imath_X \eta_i + \imath_X d\eta_i = 0\,, \quad i = 1, 2\,. \tag{5.1}$$

Let ω denote the $\{\phi_t^X\}$-invariant normalized volume form on M. We introduce a smooth non-singular vector field Z on M, tangent to the fibers of the fibration $\pi : M \to \mathbb{T}^2$ normalized so that the following properties hold:

$$\imath_Z \eta_1 = \imath_Z \eta_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \imath_Z \omega = \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2\,. \tag{5.2}$$

This is possible since for every non-singular vector field V on M the kernel of the map $\imath_V : \Omega^2(M) \to \Omega^1(M)$ is 1-dimensional, hence it is equal to $\imath_V \Omega^3(M)$. From properties (5.2) it follows that ω is invariant under the flow $\{\phi_t^Z\}$, in fact

$$\mathcal{L}_Z \omega = d\imath_Z \omega = d(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2) = 0\,.$$

In addition, since the 1-forms η_1, η_2 and the volume form ω are invariant under $\{\phi_t^X\}$ and Z is uniquely determined by the properties (5.2), it follows that Z is $\{\phi_t^X\}$-invariant, hence the commutator $[X, Z] = 0$. In fact,

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_X(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2) = \mathcal{L}_X \imath_Z \omega = \imath_{[X,Z]} \omega\,. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\tilde{Y}$ be any smooth non-singular vector field on M such that

$$\imath_{\tilde{Y}} \eta_1 = 0\,, \quad \imath_{\tilde{Y}} \eta_2 = 1\,. \tag{5.4}$$

Since for $i = 1$, 2

$$0 = d\eta_i(X, \tilde{Y}) = X\eta_i(\tilde{Y}) - \tilde{Y}\eta_i(X) - \eta_i([X, \tilde{Y}])\,, \tag{5.5}$$

it follows that $\eta_1([X, \tilde{Y}]) = \eta_2([X, \tilde{Y}]) = 0$, hence there exists a smooth function f on M such that $[X, \tilde{Y}] = fZ$. Let u be the solution of the cohomological equation

$$Xu = f - \int_M f\omega\,.$$

Let $Y := \tilde{Y} - uZ$. We remark that $\imath_Y \eta_i = \imath_{\tilde{Y}} \eta_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We have

$$[X, Y] = [X, \tilde{Y}] - Xu\, Z = (f - Xu)\, Z = \left(\int_M f\omega\right) Z\,. \tag{5.6}$$

As in (5.5), the following identities hold:

$$0 = d\eta_i(Y, Z) = Y\eta_i(Z) - Z\eta_i(Y) - \eta_i([Y, Z])\,, \tag{5.7}$$

hence there exists a smooth function g on M such that $[Y, Z] = gZ$. By the Jacobi identity, by (5.3) and (5.6),

$$[X, gZ] = [X, [Y, Z]] + [Z, [X, Y]] + [Y, [Z, X]] = 0 \tag{5.8}$$

hence $Xg\,Z = Xg\,Z + g[X, Z] = [X, gZ] = 0$, which implies that g is $\{\phi_t^X\}$-invariant, hence constant.

In conclusion there exist a, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$[X, Y] = aZ\,, \quad [Y, Z] = bZ \quad \text{and} \quad [X, Z] = 0\,. \tag{5.9}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{a,b}$ be the (solvable) 3-dimensional Lie algebra defined by the commutation relation (5.9) and let $G_{a,b}$ be the unique connected, simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{a,b}$. There exists a transitive, locally free action $A : G_{a,b} \times M \to M$ of $G_{a,b}$ on M by volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, defined as follows: for all $(s, t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and all $x \in M$,

$$A : (\exp(sX)\exp(tY)\exp(uZ), x) \to \phi_s^X \circ \phi_t^Y \circ \phi_u^Z(x)\,, \tag{5.10}$$

hence M is a homogeneous manifold of the form $G_{a,b}/\Gamma$ for some cocompact lattice Γ and $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is a (CF) homogeneous flow generated by the right-invariant vector field X on M. It follows by Greenfield-Wallach Theorem 3.4 that M is a 3-dimensional torus as claimed.

It is not difficult to prove that $b = 0$ in the above argument, hence the group $G_{a,b}$ is nilpotent and isomorphic to the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group. In fact, let η_3 be the smooth 1-form on M such that

$$\imath_X \eta_3 = \imath_Y \eta_3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \imath_Z \eta_3 \equiv 1\,. \tag{5.11}$$

As in (5.5) and (5.7) we compute

$$d\eta_3(Z, Y) = Z\eta_3(Y) - Y\eta_3(Z) - \eta_3([Z, Y]) = \eta_3([Y, Z]) = g\,. \tag{5.12}$$

By (5.2) and (5.4), since $Y = \tilde{Y} - uZ$, the following identities hold:

$$\imath_Y \eta_1 = \imath_Z \eta_1 = 0\,, \quad \imath_X \eta_1 = \imath_Y \eta_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 = \imath_Z \omega\,. \tag{5.13}$$

It follows that $(\eta_1 \wedge d\eta_3)(X, Y, Z) = -g\,\omega(X, Y, Z)$ and, since η_1 is closed,

$$d(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3) = -\eta_1 \wedge d\eta_3 = g\,\omega\,, \tag{5.14}$$

which implies that the constant function g vanishes identically, in fact

$$\int_M g\,\omega = -\int_M d(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3) = 0\,. \tag{5.15}$$

5.2. $\beta_1(M) = 1$.

In this case we have a fibration $\pi : M \to \mathbb{T}^1$ such that $\pi_*(X)$ is a generator of the translations on $\mathbb{T}^1$. It follows that $S_\tau := \pi^{-1}(\{\tau\}) \subset M$ is a smooth compact surface transverse to the flow for any $\tau \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Let Σ_τ be a connected component of S_τ and let $f_\tau : S_\tau \to S_\tau$ be the first return map of the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ to the surface Σ_τ. If Σ_τ is not homeomorphic to a 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, it can be derived from the Lefschetz fixed point theorem that f_τ must have periodic points. The argument for compact surfaces is an exercise but we refer the reader to the paper [Ful53] for more general results in this vein (we are grateful to E. Pujals for this reference). It follows that the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ has periodic orbits, which contradicts unique ergodicity. Since X is (CF), the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is uniquely ergodic, hence Σ_τ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2$. In this case, by the Lefschetz formula the map f_τ has no periodic points only if the linear map $(f_\tau)_* : H_1(\Sigma_\tau, \mathbb{R}) \to H_1(\Sigma_\tau, \mathbb{R})$ has both eigenvalues equal to 1. In this case $(f_\tau)_*$ fixes at least one (integer) homology class. The first Betti number $\beta_1(M)$ of the mapping cone M of the map $f_\tau : \Sigma_\tau \to \Sigma_\tau$ is at least equal to 2, in fact

$$\beta_1(\hat{M}) = 1 + \text{ dim Ker } (f_\tau^* - id) \geq 2\,,$$

in contradiction with the assumption that $\beta_1(M) = 1$. Hence if $\beta_1(M) = 1$ there are no (CF) vector fields on M.

5.3. $\beta_1(M) = 0$.

If the cohomology $H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and X is a (CF) vector field, there exists a 1-form α such that

$$\imath_X \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \imath_X d\alpha = 0\,. \tag{5.16}$$

In fact, let $\eta_X := \imath_X \omega$. Since $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0$, the form η_X is closed. If $H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, there exists a 1-form θ on M such that $d\theta = \eta_X$. Since X is (CF), there exists a function $u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\imath_X \theta + Xu = \int_M \imath_X \theta\, \omega\,. \tag{5.17}$$

The 1-form $\alpha := \theta + du$ satisfies the required properties (5.16).

There are two cases: (a) $\imath_X \alpha \equiv 0$; (b) $\imath_X \alpha \not\equiv 0$; In case (a) it is possible to prove that M is a homogeneous manifolds and $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is a homogeneous flow, hence the Greenfield-Wallach Theorem 3.4 implies as above that M is a 3-torus, a contradiction. In case (b) the flow generated by X is the Reeb flow for the contact structure defined by the 1-form α, hence it has a periodic orbit by the Weinstein conjecture, recently proved by C. Taubes [Tau07]. However, every (CF) flow is volume preserving and uniquely ergodic, hence it cannot have periodic orbits.

Let us prove that in case (a) M is a homogeneous manifolds and $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is a homogeneous flow. Let α be a smooth 1-form such that $d\alpha \not\equiv 0$ and

$$\imath_X \alpha = \imath_X d\alpha = 0\,. \tag{5.18}$$

It follows that $\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0$ and α is $\{\phi_t^X\}$-invariant, that is,

$$\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = d\imath_X \alpha + \imath_X d\alpha = 0\,. \tag{5.19}$$

Since the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is uniquely ergodic, it follows that the form α is everywhere non-singular and there exists $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $d\alpha = c\,\eta_X$. In fact, by (5.18) there exists $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $d\alpha = f\eta_X$. The function f is $\{\phi_t^X\}$-invariant, hence constant. It is therefore possible to normalize α so that $d\alpha = \eta_X$. By (5.18) it also follows that $\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0$, hence there exists a smooth 1-form $\tilde\beta$ (not unique) such that

$$d\alpha = \tilde\beta \wedge \alpha\,. \tag{5.20}$$

Let us compute the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X \tilde\beta$. By formulas (5.19) and (5.20)

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_X d\alpha = \mathcal{L}_X \tilde\beta \wedge \alpha\,, \tag{5.21}$$

hence there exists a smooth function g on M such that $\mathcal{L}_X \tilde\beta = g\alpha$. Let v be the solution of the equation

$$Xv + g = \int_M g\,\omega = a \in \mathbb{R}$$

and let $\beta := \tilde\beta + v\alpha$. We then have

$$\mathcal{L}_X \beta = \mathcal{L}_X \tilde\beta + Xv\alpha = (g + Xv)\alpha = a\alpha\,. \tag{5.22}$$

We remark that

$$d\alpha = \tilde\beta \wedge \alpha = \beta \wedge \alpha\,, \tag{5.23}$$

hence $0 = \imath_X d\alpha = (\imath_X \beta)\alpha$ which implies

$$\imath_X \beta = \imath_X \alpha = 0\,. \tag{5.24}$$

Let $\tilde\gamma$ be any smooth 1-form such that $\imath_X \tilde\gamma \equiv 1$. Since

$$\omega = \imath_X \omega \wedge \tilde\gamma = d\alpha \wedge \tilde\gamma = \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \tilde\gamma\,,$$

the forms α, β and $\tilde\gamma$ are linearly independent at all $x \in M$, hence there exists smooth functions h_1, h_2, h_3 on M such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X \tilde\gamma = h_1 \alpha + h_2 \beta + h_3 \tilde\gamma\,. \tag{5.25}$$

Since $d\imath_X\tilde{\gamma} = 0$, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_X\tilde{\gamma} = \imath_X d\tilde{\gamma}$, hence

$$h_3 = \imath_X \, \mathcal{L}_X \tilde{\gamma} \equiv 0\,.$$

Let w_1 and w_2 be the smooth solutions of the cohomological equations

$$\begin{aligned} Xw_1 + h_1 + aw_2 &= \int_M h_1\omega + a\int_M w_2\,\omega = b \in \mathbb{R} \\ Xw_2 + h_2 &= \int_M h_2\,\omega = c \in \mathbb{R}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

The above equations can be solved since X is a (CF) vector field. In fact, the second equation does not depend on the first equation, hence it has a solution $w_2 \in C^\infty(M)$. Once the solution w_2 has been chosen, the first equation becomes a cohomological equation for w_1 and can also be solved. Let $\gamma := \tilde{\gamma} + w_1\alpha + w_2\beta$. We remark that $\imath_X\gamma \equiv \imath_X\tilde{\gamma} \equiv 1$. A computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X\gamma &= \mathcal{L}_X\tilde{\gamma} + (Xw_1)\,\alpha + (Xw_2)\,\beta + w_2\,\mathcal{L}_X\beta \\ &= (Xw_1 + h_1 + aw_2)\,\alpha + (Xw_2 + h_2)\,\beta = b\,\alpha + c\,\beta\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

We have thus proved that there exists a, b, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X\alpha = 0\,, \quad \mathcal{L}_X\beta = a\,\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_X\gamma = b\,\alpha + c\,\beta\,. \tag{5.28}$$

The above identities show that the flow $\{\phi_t^X\}$ is ‘homogeneous’. In order to prove that the manifold M has an homogeneous structure, we will compute the differentials of the forms α, β and γ. Since

$$\imath_X\alpha = \imath_X\beta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \imath_X\gamma = 1\,,$$

it follows from (5.28) that $\imath_X d\beta = \mathcal{L}_X\beta$ and $\imath_X d\gamma = \mathcal{L}_X\gamma$, hence there exist smooth functions r_1, $r_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d\beta &= -a(\alpha\wedge\gamma) + r_1(\alpha\wedge\beta)\,, \\ d\gamma &= -b(\alpha\wedge\gamma) - c(\beta\wedge\gamma) + r_2(\alpha\wedge\beta)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

Since the forms α and $d\alpha = \beta\wedge\alpha$ are $\{\phi_t^X\}$-invariant, a computation yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X d\beta &= d\imath_X d\beta + \imath_X d^2\beta = d\imath_X d\beta = d(a\alpha) = -a\,\alpha\wedge\beta\,; \\ \mathcal{L}_X d\beta &= -a\,\alpha\wedge\mathcal{L}_X\gamma + (Xr_1)\,\alpha\wedge\beta = (Xr_1 - ac)\,\alpha\wedge\beta\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

It follows that

$$Xr_1 = ac - a \in \mathbb{R}\,,$$

which implies that $ac - a = 0$, hence $a = 0$ or $c = 1$, and $r_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant function. Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X d\gamma &= d\imath_X d\gamma + \imath_X d^2\gamma = d\imath_X d\gamma \\ &= b\,d\alpha + c\,d\beta = (c\,r_1 - b)\,\alpha\wedge\beta - ac\,\alpha\wedge\gamma \end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X d\gamma &= -b\,\alpha\wedge\mathcal{L}_X\gamma - c\,\mathcal{L}_X\beta\wedge\gamma - c\,\beta\wedge\mathcal{L}_X\gamma + (Xr_2)\,\alpha\wedge\beta\\ &= -bc\,\alpha\wedge\beta - ac\,\alpha\wedge\gamma - bc\,\beta\wedge\alpha + (Xr_2)\,\alpha\wedge\beta\\ &= (Xr_2)\,\alpha\wedge\beta - ac\,\alpha\wedge\gamma\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

It follows that

$$Xr_2 = c\,r_1 - b \in \mathbb{R}\,,$$

which implies that $c\,r_1 - b = 0$ and $r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant function.

We remark that it is possible to distinguish two cases: (i) $a \neq 0$ and (ii) $a = 0$. In case (i) we can assume that $b = 0$. In fact, we let

$$\gamma' := \gamma - \frac{b}{a}\beta\,.$$

We remark that we have $\imath_X\gamma' = \imath_X\gamma \equiv 1$. We compute

$$\mathcal{L}_X\gamma' = \mathcal{L}_X\gamma - \frac{b}{a}\,\mathcal{L}_X\beta = c\,\beta\,.$$

It follows that in case (i) we can take

$$a \neq 0\,,\quad b = 0\,,\qquad \text{hence}\qquad c = 1\,,\quad r_1 = 0\,.$$

Let us introduce the unique frame $\{X, Y, Z\}$ of the tangent bundle defined by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}&\imath_X\gamma = 1\,, &&\text{and} &&\imath_X\alpha = \imath_X\beta = 0\,;\\ &\imath_Y\beta = 1\,, &&\text{and} &&\imath_Y\alpha = \imath_Y\gamma = 0\,;\\ &\imath_Z\alpha = 1\,, &&\text{and} &&\imath_Z\beta = \imath_Z\gamma = 0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

A computation based on the equations (5.23) and (5.29) shows that the frame $\{X, Y, Z\}$ generates the 3-dimensional Lie algebra characterized by the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}[X, Y] &= cX\,,\\ [X, Z] &= -bX - aY\,,\\ [Y, Z] &= r_2X + r_1Y - Z\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

As in §5.2 we conclude that M is a homogeneous manifold and $\{\phi^X_t\}$ is a (CF) homogeneous flow. By Greenfield-Wallach Theorem 3.4 it folllows that M is a 3-dimensional torus (and X is a Diophantine vector field).

The proof of the Greenfield-Wallach and Katok conjectures in dimension 3 is thus reduced to the proof of the Weinstein conjecture, recently announced by C. Taubes [Tau07]. However, it is important in our opinion to find an alternative proof in the contact case.

6. SOME OPEN QUESTIONS AND A CONJECTURE

The Greenfield-Wallach and Katok conjectures remain open in dimension higher than 3 and there are no results available in the general case other than [RHRH06]. We would like to propose some partial problems which we think may be relevant partial steps toward a solution. The selection of such problems is quite obviously influenced by the approach we carried out in the 3-dimensional case. It is entirely possible that completely different ideas are needed.

Problem 1. *Find an alternative proof that there are no (CF) contact vector fields on* 3*-dimensional manifolds (or rational homology spheres).*

Problem 2. *Prove the Katok conjecture for homogeneous flows* in arbitrary dimensions *(that is, for homogeneous flows on closed, connected, homogeneous manifolds* $M = G/\Gamma$ *where* G *is an arbitrary connected, simply connected Lie group and* Γ *is a co-compact lattice).*

If M is a nilmanifold, that is, when G is a nilpotent Lie group, Problem 2 has been solved by the author in collaboration with L. Flaminio [FF07].

Finally, we remark that all known examples of volume preserving (uniquely ergodic) vector fields which fail to be (CF) have large spaces of invariant distributions with the exception of constant Liouville vector fields on tori. This suggests that the only source of lack of stabilty comes from the Liouville phenomenon on a toral factor. In particular we propose the following:

Conjecture 6.1. *If a closed, connected, orientable manifold* M *admits a volume-preserving vector field* X *such that the space* $\mathcal{I}_X(M)$ *of all* X*-invariant distributions is* 1*-dimensional, then* M *is diffeomorphic to a torus.*

Obviously, the above conjecture is stronger that the Katok conjecture. It is true in dimension 2 and for homogenous flows in dimension 3. It is also true for nilflows in all dimensions [FF07]. It is open in all other cases and seems to be beyond reach at the moment even in dimension 3.

REFERENCES

[CC00] W. Chen and M. Y. Chi, *Hypoelliptic vector fields and almost periodic motions on the torus* T^n, Commun. Partial Differential Equations **25** (2000), no. 1-2, 337–354.

[dlLMM86] R. de la Llave, J. M. Marco, and R. Moriyon, *Canonical perturbation theory of Anosov systems and regularity results for livsic cohomology equation*, Ann. of Math. **123** (1986), 537–611.

[FF03] L. Flaminio and G. Forni, *Invariant distributions and time averages for horocycle flows*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), no. 3, 465–526.

[FF06] ______, *Equidistribution of nilflows and applications to theta sums*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **26** (2006), no. 02, 409–433.

[FF07] ______, *On the cohomological equation for nilflows*, Journal of Modern Dynamics **1** (2007), no. 1, 37–60.

[Ful53] F. B. Fuller, *The existence of periodic points*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), 229–230.

[GW] S. J. Greenfield and N. R. Wallach, *Remarks on global hypoellipticity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

[GW73] ______, *Globally hypoelliptic vector fields*, Topology **12** (1973), 247–254.

[Hur85] S. Hurder, *Problems on rigidity of group actions and cocycles*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **5** (1985), no. 3, 473–484.

[Kat01] A. B. Katok, *Cocycles, cohomology and combinatorial constructions in ergodic theory*, Smooth ergodic theory and its applications (Seattle, WA, 1999), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 69, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, In collaboration with E. A. Robinson, Jr., pp. 107–173.

[Kat03] ______, *Combinatorial constructions in ergodic theory and dynamics*, University Lecture Series, vol. 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[Koc07] A. Kocsard, *Toward the classification of cohomology-free vector fields*, Ph. D. thesis, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2007.

[LdS98] R. U. Luz and N. M. dos Santos, *Cohomology-free diffeomorphisms of low-dimension tori*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **18** (1998), no. 4, 985–1006.

[RHRH06] F. Rodriguez Hertz and J. Rodriguez Hertz, *Cohomology free systems and the first betti number*, Continuous and Discrete Dynam. Systems **15** (2006), 193–196.

[Tau07] C. Taubes, *The Seiberg-Witten equations and the Weinstein conjecture*, preprint, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/math.SG/0611007, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, 40 ST. GEORGE ST., TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA M5S 2E4
E-mail address: `forni@math.toronto.edu`

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS-SUD, BÂTIMENT 425, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE